



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/966,038	09/28/2001	Erwin B. Bellers	US010583	4573
24737 73	590 12/01/2005		EXAM	INER
PHILIPS INT	ELLECTUAL PROPI	TRAN, TRANG U		
P.O. BOX 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ARTUNII	FAFER NUMBER
			2614	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/966,038	BELLERS, ERWIN B.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Trang U. Tran	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply		orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-9,11,13-16,18 and 20 is/are 7) Claim(s) 3, 5, 10, 12, 17 and 19 is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ewn from consideration. rejected. ed to. or election requirement. eer. cepted or b) objected to by the less drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	F	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Sept. 20, 2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed Sept. 20, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re page 13, applicant argues that independent device claims have been amended to add the limitation that an output of the sampling mechanism is coupled to a signal analysis unit to determine a highest spatial frequency within the image content, with an analogous method limitation being added to the independent method claim and that this additional limitation (as fully disclosed, *inter alia*, on page 10 of the instant specification) more clearly and particularly distinguishes the instant invention over the reference.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Cherry et al discloses from col.

4, line 73 to col. 5, line 3 that "From the high or low detail information supplied by the detail detector 3, a supply rate selector 4 determines the intervals at which the supply gate 16 shall be opened and the output of the supply rate selector 4 is a series of

Art Unit: 2614

control pulses spaced at the required intervals for opening the supply gate 16". It is noted that the newly added limitation of independent claims "a signal analysis unit to determine a highest spatial frequency within the image content" is anticipated by the supply rate selector 4 which determines the intervals at which the supply gate 16 shall be opened based on the high or low detail information supplied by the detail detector 3.

In re page 14, applicant argues that Cherry et al do not support the claimed limitation "the variable sampling rate in Cherry is selectable over a continuous range as a function of the highest spatial frequency with the image content" because Cherry et al clearly states that either a single sampling rate or a "small number" of different sampling rates, such as two alternative slower rates, are provided and the portion of the specification cited in the Action spanning column 4 and 5 discusses two different rates with other portions of the disclosures stating that three sample rates are possible.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. As recognized by applicant that Cherry et al discloses two or three sampling rates can be used. The two or three sampling rates of Cherry et al anticipates the claimed "the variable sampling rate is selectable over a continuous range as a function of the highest spatial frequency within the image content" because the sampling rates is selected based on the supply gate 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-9, 11, 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by E. C. Cherry et al. (US Patent No. 3,324,237).

Page 4

In considering claim 1, E. C. Cherry et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed an input receiving an analog video signal is met by the picture scanner 1 (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 3-19), 2) the claimed an output of said sampling mechanism being coupled to a signal analysis unit to determine a highest spatial frequency within the image content is met by the supply rate selector 4 (Fig. 1, col. 4, line 73 to col. 5, line 3), and 3) the claimed a sampling mechanism coupled the input and sampling the analog video signal utilizing variable sampling rate modulated for segments of the analog video signal based upon spatial frequencies within the image content contained within the analog video signal, said variable sampling rate being selectable over a continuous range as a function of the highest spatial frequency within the image content is met by the analog-to-digital converter 2, the detail detector 3 which supplies a two-level (high or low detail information) output signal, a supply rate selector 4 which selects variable sampling rate for the supply-rate coder 5 based upon spatial frequencies within the image content (high or low detail information) (Fig. 1, col. 4, line 20 to col. 5, line 65 and col. 8, line 13 to 12, line 50).

In considering claim 2, the claimed wherein first sampling rate is employed for a first segment of the analog video signal containing content having a first highest spatial frequency and a second sampling rate greater than the first sampling rate employed segment of the analog video signal containing content having a second highest spatial frequency greater than the first highest spatial frequency is met by the supply rate

selector 4 which selects for the low-detail condition, a lower supply rate is chosen, for the high-detail condition (frequency greater than the low-detail), the highest supply rate is chosen, corresponding to the Nyquist sampling interval of 1/6 microsecond (Fig. 1. col. 4, line 20 to col. 5, line 65).

Page 5

In considering claim 4, E. C. Cherry et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed wherein the sampling mechanism further comprises: a single analog-to-digital converter receiving the analog video signal and sampling the analog video signal at a fixed rate is met by the signal sampler and analogue-to-digital converter 1 (Figs. 1 and 3, col. 8, lines 13-48), 2) the claimed signal analysis unit analyzing samples from the converter to select sampling rate for and each segment of the analog video signal is met by the detail detector 3 and the supply rate selector 4 which selects for the low-detail condition, a lower supply rate is chosen, for the highdetail condition (frequency greater than the low-detail), the highest supply rate is chosen, corresponding to the Nyquist sampling interval of 1/6 microsecond (Fig. 1, col. 4, line 20 to col. 5, line 65 and col. 8, line 52 to col. 12, line 49), and 3) the claimed a downsampling unit retaining samples from the converter for each segment of the analog video signal based upon corresponding sampling rate selected by the signal analysis unit is met by the supply rate coder 5 (Fig. 1, col. 12, lines 53-75).

In considering claim 7, the claimed wherein the sampling mechanism samples the analog video signal at a first rate and transmits samples for at least one segment of the analog video signal at second rate different than the first rate is met by the signal sampler and analogue-to-digital converter 1 which samples the analog signal at a first

Art Unit: 2614

rat and the supply rate coder 5 which is transmits samples for at least one segment at second rate different than the first rate (Figs. 1 and 3, col. 8, lines 13-48 and col. 12, lines 53-75).

In considering claim 8, E. C. Cherry et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed an input receiving an analog video signal is met by is met by the picture scanner 1 (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 3-19), 2) the claimed an output transmitting digital video signal to a display, a storage system, or another device is met by is met by the picture sample store 6 and 8 (Fig. 1, col. 13, line 5, line 66 to col. 7, line 10 and col. 13, line 23 to col. 14, line 37), 2) the claimed an output of said sampling mechanism being coupled to a signal analysis unit to determine a highest spatial frequency within the image content is met by the supply rate selector 4 (Fig. 1, col. 4, line 73 to col. 5, line 3), and 3) the claimed a sampling mechanism coupled to the input and sampling the analog video signal utilizing a variable sampling rate modulated for segments of the analog video signal based upon spatial frequencies within the image content contained within the analog video signal, said variable sampling rate being selectable over a continuous range as a function of a highest spatial frequency within the image content is met by the analog-to-digital converter 2, the detail detector 3 which supplies a two-level (high or low detail information) output signal, a supply rate selector 4 which selects variable sampling rate for the supply-rate coder 5 based upon spatial frequencies within the image content (high or low detail information) (Fig. 1, col. 4, line 20 to col. 5, line 65 and col. 8, line 13 to 12, line 50).

Claim 9 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,038

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 11 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 4.

Claim 14 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 7.

Claims 15-16 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 1-2, respectively.

Claim 18 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over E. C. Cherry et al. (US Patent No. 3,324,237).

In considering claim 6, E. C. Cherry et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claims 1 and 2, except for providing the claimed wherein the rate for each segment of the analog video signal sampling is at least twice a highest spatial frequency within content contained by the corresponding segment of the analog video signal. The capability of selecting the rate for each segment of the analog video signal sampling is at least twice a highest spatial frequency within content contained by the corresponding segment of the analog video signal is old and well known in the art. Therefore, the Official Notice is taken. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known of selecting the rate for each segment of the analog video signal sampling is at least

Art Unit: 2614

twice a highest spatial frequency within content contained by the corresponding segment of the analog video signal into E. C. Cherry et al's system in order to increase the quality of the video signal because sampling the video signal using at least twice a highest spatial frequency will reduce interference.

Claim 13 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 6.

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 6.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 3, 5, 10, 12, 17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7358. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM 5:30 PM, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TT

November 23, 2005

Trang 0. Tran

Examiner

Art Unit 2614